

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,165	10/14/2004	Shiro Sakiyama	71971-015	6689
20277	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
MCDERMO 600 13TH ST	TT WILL & EMERY REET NW	CUNNINGHA	M, TERRY D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,165	SAKIYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry D. Cunningham	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) accepted or b) ob ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,165

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Title

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The response has not address this objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 7-10, the phrase "for the operating power supply voltage value" is not understood. This language does not provide a clear relationship between the "operating power supply voltage" and the "MOS transistor".

Claims 2-5 are rejected for the reasons discussed above with claim 1.

Claims 6-16 are rejected for similar reasons as claim 1-5.

Claims 7-12 are rejected for the reasons discussed above with claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public

Art Unit: 2816

use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merrill et al. (USPN 5,397,934).

With respect to claims 1-5 and 13-16, Merrill et al. disclose, in Fig. 2, a circuit comprising: "a main circuit (22)"; and "a substrate potential control circuit (remainder of circuit)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the purpose of the reference to Merrill et al. is the control the threshold of the MOS transistors, whereas the claims recite control the "saturation current value". Examiner agree that the circuit to Merrill et al. is to control the "threshold", but clearly, one skilled in the art would not see this as a distinction. Firstly, as would be abundantly evident, the substrate voltage control of the circuit to Merrill et al. and that of the present invention is substantially similar. Clearly, it is not possible to maintain the substrate voltage to control threshold voltage of a MOS transistor without, at the same time, controlling the "saturation current value". For each respective value of threshold voltage, there is necessarily a corresponding "saturation current value". As seen, the reference to Merrill et al. maintains threshold at a predetermined threshold voltage or, in other word, a target threshold voltage. Clearly, for this the target threshold voltage, there must necessarily be a "target saturation current value". Therefore, it would have been more than clear to one skilled in the art that since Merrill et al. discloses maintaining the circuit at a target threshold voltage, it must necessarily maintain the circuit at a corresponding "target saturation current value". As a result, the rejection is hereby maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,165 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

None of the cited prior art references disclose a circuit having the specific structure of claim 6 wherein the voltage "from the current-voltage conversion circuit is equal to the predetermined operating power supply voltage value of the main circuit". As seen in the reference to Merrill et al., as well as other of the cited references, the voltage "from the current-voltage conversion circuit" is <u>divided</u> from the "predetermined operating power supply voltage".

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC January 10, 2006 Terry D. Cunninghan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816